

9. References¹ WHO

1. The state of the world's children 2016: a fair chance for every child. New York (NY): United Nations Children's Fund; 2016 (https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/UNICEF_SOWC_2016.pdf, accessed 20 October 2017).
2. Danilack VA, Nunes AP, Phipps MG. Unexpected complications of low-risk pregnancies in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;212(6):809.e1-6.
3. Intrapartum care for healthy women and babies. NICE clinical guideline 190. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2014 (http://www.geburtshaus.ch/documents/upload/NICE_clinical_guideline_190_dec2014.pdf, accessed 20 October 2017).
4. Kassebaum NJ, Bertozzi-Villa A, Coggeshall MS, Shackelford KA, Steiner C, Heuton KR, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and causes of maternal mortality during 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9947):980–1004.
5. Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller AB, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2(6):e323–33.
6. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Waiswa P, Amouzou A, Mathers C, Hogan D, et al. Stillbirths: rates, risk factors, and acceleration towards 2030. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10018):587–603.
7. Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Bahl R, Lawn JE, Salam RA, Paul VK, et al. Can available interventions end preventable deaths in mothers, newborn babies, and stillbirths, and at what cost? *Lancet*. 2014;384(9940):347–70.
8. Bohren MA, Hunter EC, Munthe-Kaas HM, Souza JP, Vogel JP, Gülmezoglu AM. Facilitators and barriers to facility-based delivery in low- and middle-income countries: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *Reprod Health*. 2014;11(1):71.
9. Coulm B, Le Ray C, Lelong N, Drewniak N, Zeitlin J, Blondel B. Obstetric interventions for low-risk pregnant women in France: do maternity unit characteristics make a difference? *Birth*. 2012;39(3):183–91.
10. The health and care of pregnant women and babies in Europe in 2010. European Perinatal Health Report. Euro-Peristat Project; 2013 (<http://www.europeristat.com/images/doc/Peristat%202013%20V2.pdf>, accessed 12 December 2017).

11. Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH, Campbell J, Channon AA, Cheung NF, et al. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9948):1129–45.

¹ Cochrane reviews with citations beginning with the annotation “†” were updated or completed for the purpose of this guideline.

12. Tunçalp Ö, Were WM, MacLennan C, Oladapo OT, Gülmezoglu AM, Bahl R, et al. Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns – the WHO vision. *BJOG*. 2015;122(8):1045–9.

13. Hofmeyr GJ. Evidence-based intrapartum care. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2005;19(1):103–15.

14. Hanley GE, Munro S, Greyson D, Gross MM, Hundley V, Spiby H, et al. Diagnosing onset of labor: a systematic review of definitions in the research literature. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:71.

15. Neal JL, Lowe NK, Patrick TE, Cabbage LA, Corwin EJ. What is the slowest-yet-normal cervical dilation rate among nulliparous women with spontaneous labor onset? *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2010;39(4):361–9.

16. Zhang J, Landy HJ, Branch DW, Burkman R, Haberman S, Gregory KD, et al. Contemporary patterns of spontaneous labor with normal neonatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116(6):1281–7.

17. Zhang J, Troendle J, Mikolajczyk R, Sundaram R, Beaver J, Fraser W. The natural history of the normal first stage of labor. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115(4):705–10.

18. Zhang J, Troendle JF, Yancey MK. Reassessing the labor curve in nulliparous women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;187(4):824–8.

19. *Managing complications in pregnancy and childbirth: a guide for midwives and doctors*, second edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 (http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/managing-complications-pregnancy-childbirth/en/, accessed 7 December 2017).

20. *WHO handbook for guideline development*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (http://www.who.int/publications/guidelines/handbook_2nd_ed.pdf, accessed 10 October 2017).

21. The GRADE working group; 2017 (<http://gradeworkinggroup.org/>, accessed 12 December 2017).

22. Bohren MA, Hofmeyr GJ, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support for women during childbirth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(7):CD003766.

23. Downe S, Finlayson K, Lawrie TA, Oladapo OT, Bonet M, Gülmezoglu AM. What matters to women during childbirth: a systematic qualitative review. *PLoS One*. 2018 (in press).

24. DECIDE (2011–2015). In: DECIDE [website]. DECIDE; 2017 (<http://www.decide-collaboration.eu>, accessed 12 December 2017).

25. The GRADE-CERQual Project Group. In: GRADE-CERQual [website]; 2016 (<http://www.cerqual.org/>, accessed 26 January 2018).

26. Downe S, Finlayson K, Thomson G, Hall-Moran V, Feeley C, Oladapo OT. WHO recommendations for interventions during labour and birth: qualitative evidence synthesis of the views and experiences of service users and providers. 2018 (unpublished).
27. †Bohren MA, Munthe-Kaas H, Berger BO, Allanson EE, Tunçalp Ö. Perceptions and experiences of labour companionship: a qualitative evidence synthesis (Protocol). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;(12):CD012449.
28. Shakibazadeh E, Namadian M, Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Rashidian A, Pileggi VN, et al. Respectful care during childbirth in health facilities globally: a qualitative evidence synthesis. *BJOG.* 2017. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.15015.
29. Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*, version 5.1.0. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011 ([http:// handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/](http://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/), accessed 12 December 2017).
30. Walsh D, Downe S. Appraising the quality of qualitative research. *Midwifery.* 2006;22(2): 108–19.
31. OneHealth Model: intervention treatment assumptions. Geneva and Glastonbury (CT): United Nations InterAgency Working Group on Costing and the Futures Institute; 2013 ([http://avenirhealth.org/ Download/Spectrum/Manuals/Intervention%20 Assumptions%202013%209%2028.pdf](http://avenirhealth.org/Download/Spectrum/Manuals/Intervention%20Assumptions%202013%209%2028.pdf), accessed 18 December 2017).
32. WHO compendium of innovative health technologies for low-resource settings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 ([http://www.who.int/medical_devices/innovation/ compendium/en/](http://www.who.int/medical_devices/innovation/compendium/en/), accessed 18 December 2017).
33. State of inequality: reproductive, maternal, newborn and child health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 ([http://www.who.int/gender- equity-rights/knowledge/state-of- inequality/en/](http://www.who.int/gender-equity-rights/knowledge/state-of-inequality/en/), accessed 18 December 2017).
34. WHO recommendations: optimizing health worker roles to improve access to key maternal and newborn health interventions through task shifting. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 ([http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/77764/1/9789241504843_eng. pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/77764/1/9789241504843_eng.pdf), accessed 17 January 2018).

35. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal_perinatal_health/anc-positive-pregnancy-experience/en/, accessed 10 October 2017).
36. Health worker roles in providing safe abortion care and post abortion contraception. Geneva: WHO; 2015 (http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/unsafe_abortion/abortion-task-shifting/en/, accessed 19 October 2017).
37. EPOC resources for review authors. In: Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) [website]. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2018 (<http://epoc.cochrane.org/epoc-specific-resources-review-authors>, accessed 22 January 2018).
38. Downe S, Lawrie TA, Finlayson K, Oladapo OT. Effectiveness of respectful care policies for women using intrapartum care services. *Reprod Health*. 2018 (in press).
39. United Nations Human Rights Council. Technical guidance on the application of a human rights-based approach to the implementation of policies and programmes to reduce preventable maternal morbidity and mortality. United Nations; 2012 (A/HRC/21/22; http://www2.ohchr.org/english/issues/women/docs/A.HRC.21.22_en.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).
40. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Tunçalp Ö, Fawole B, Titiloye MA, Olutayo AO, et al. “By slapping their laps, the patient will know that you truly care for her”: a qualitative study on social norms and acceptability of the mistreatment of women during childbirth in Abuja, Nigeria. *SSM Popul Health*. 2016;2:640–55.
41. Balde MD, Diallo BA, Bangoura A, Sall O, Soumah AM, Vogel JP, et al. Perceptions and experiences of the mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities in Guinea: a qualitative study with women and service providers. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14:3.
42. Balde MD, Bangoura A, Diallo BA, Sall O, Balde H, Niakate AS, et al. A qualitative study of women’s and health providers’ attitudes and acceptability of mistreatment during childbirth in health facilities in Guinea. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14(1):4.
43. Chang YS, Coxon K, Portela AG, Furuta M, Bick D. Interventions to support effective communication between maternity care staff and women in labour: a mixed methods systematic review. *Midwifery*. 2017;59:4–16.
44. Bashour H, Kanaan M, Kharouf M, Abdulsalam A, Tabbaa M, Cheika S. The effect of training doctors in communications skills on women’s satisfaction with doctor–woman relationship during labour and delivery: a stepped wedge cluster randomized controlled trial in Damascus. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3(8):1–11.
45. Crofts J, Barlett C, Ellis D, Winter C, Donald F, Hunt L, et al. Patient-actor perception of care: a comparison of obstetric emergency training using manikins and patient-actors. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2008;17:20–4.
46. WHO recommendations for augmentation of labour. Geneva: World Health

Organization; 2014 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/112825/1/9789241507363_eng.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).

47. WHO recommendations on health promotion interventions for maternal and newborn health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/172427/1/9789241508742_report_eng.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).

48. Spiby H, Green JM, Darwin Z, Willmot H, Knox D, McLeish J, et al. Multisite implementation of trained volunteer doula support for disadvantaged childbearing women: a mixed-methods evaluation. *Health Services and Delivery Research*. 2015;3.8.

177

9. REFERENCES

49. Munoz EG, Collins M. Establishing a volunteer doula program within a nurse-midwifery education program: a winning situation for both clients and students. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2015;60:274–7.
50. Campbell DA, Lake MF, Falk M, Backstrand JR. A randomized control trial of continuous support in labor by a lay doula. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2006;35:456–64.
51. Darwin Z, Green J, McLeish J, Willmot H, Spiby H. Evaluation of trained volunteer doula services for disadvantaged women in ve areas in England: women’s experiences. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2017;25(2):466–77.
52. Abalos E, Oladapo OT, Chamillard M, Díaz V, Pasquale J, Bonet M, et al. Duration of spontaneous labour in “low-risk” women with “normal” perinatal outcomes: a systematic review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2018 (in press).
53. Oladapo OT, Diaz V, Bonet M, Abalos E, Thwin SS, Souza H, et al. Cervical dilatation patterns of “low- risk” women with spontaneous labour and normal perinatal outcomes: a systematic review. *BJOG*. 2017. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.14930.
54. Dixon L, Skinner J, Foureur M. Women’s perspectives of the stages and phases of labour. *Midwifery*. 2013;29:10–7.
55. Eri T, Bondas T, Mechtild M, Janssen P, Green J. A balancing act in an unknown territory: a meta- synthesis of mothers’ rst time experiences in early labour. *Midwifery*. 2015;31(3):e58–e67.

56. Peisner DB, Rosen MG. Latent phase of labor in normal patients: a reassessment. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1985;66(5):644–8.
57. Ijaiya MA, Aboyeji AP, Fakeye OO, Balogun OR, Nwachukwu DC, Abiodun MO. Pattern of cervical dilatation among parturients in Ilorin, Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med.* 2009;8(3):181–4.
58. Juntunen K, Kirkinen P. Partogram of a grand multipara: different descent slope compared with an ordinary parturient. *J Perinat Med.* 1994;22(3):213–8.
59. Velasco A, Franco A, Reyes F. Nomograma de la dilatación del cervix en el parto [Nomogram of the dilatation of the cervix in childbirth]. *Rev Colomb Obstet Ginecol.* 1985;36(5):323–7.
60. Friedman E. Primigravid labor; a graphicostatistical analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1955;6(6): 567–89.
61. Friedman E. Labor in multiparas; a graphicostatistical analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1956;8(6): 691–703.
62. Oladapo OT, Souza JP, Fawole B, Mugerwa K, Perdoná G, Alves D, et al. Progression of the first stage of spontaneous labour: a prospective cohort study in two sub-Saharan African countries. *PLoS Med.* 2018. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002492.
63. Albers LL, Schi M, Gorwoda JG. The length of active labor in normal pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;87(3):355–9.
64. Albers LL. The duration of labor in healthy women. *J Perinatol.* 1999;19(2):114–9.
65. Jones M, Larson E. Length of normal labor in women of Hispanic origin. *J Midwifery Womens Health.* 2003;48(1):2–9.
66. Schi E, Cohen SB, Dulitzky M, Novikov I, Friedman SA, Mashiach S, et al. Progression of labor in twin versus singleton gestations. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1998;179(5):1181–5.
67. Kilpatrick SJ, Laros RK, Jr. Characteristics of normal labor. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1989;74(1):85–7.
68. Lee SW, Yang JH, Cho HJ, Hong DS, Kim MY, Ryu HM, et al. The effects of epidural analgesia on labor progress and perinatal outcomes. *Korean J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;50(10):1330–5.
69. Schorn MN, McAllister JL, Blanco JD. Water immersion and the effect on labor. *J Nurse Midwifery.* 1993;38(6):336–42.
70. WHO-CHOICE unit cost estimates for service delivery – estimation tool. World Health Organization; 2011 (http://www.who.int/choice/cost-effectiveness/inputs/health_service/en/, accessed 29 March 2017).

71. Lowe NK. A review of factors associated with dystocia and cesarean section in nulliparous women. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2007;52(3):216–28.
72. Nystedt A, Högberg U, Lundman B. The negative birth experience of prolonged labour: a case-referent study. *J Clin Nurs*. 2005;14(5):579–86.
73. Nystedt A, Högberg U, Lundman B. Some Swedish women's experiences of prolonged labour. *Midwifery*. 2006;22:56–65.
74. Souza JP, Oladapo OT, Bonet M, Gülmezoglu AM. Diagnostic accuracy of the partograph alert line: a systematic review. 2018 (unpublished).
75. Wei S, Wo BL, Qi H-P, Xu H, Luo Z-C, Roy C, et al. Early amniotomy and early oxytocin for prevention of, or therapy for, delay in rst stage spontaneous labour compared with routine care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(8):CD006794.
76. Bedwell C, Levin K, Pett C, Lavender DT. A realist review of the partograph: when and how does it work for labour monitoring? *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):31.
77. Ollerhead E, Osrin D. Barriers to and incentives for achieving partograph use in obstetric practice in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:281.
78. Dujardin B, De Schampheleire I, Sene H, Ndiaye F. Value of the alert and action lines on the partogram. *Lancet*. 1992;339(8805):1336–8.
79. World Health Organization (WHO), Maternal Health and Safe Motherhood Programme. The partograph: the application of the WHO partograph in the management of labour. Report of a WHO multicentre study 1990–1991. Geneva: WHO; 1994 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/58589/1/WHO_FHE_MSM_94.4.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).
80. Van Bogaert L. The partogram's result and neonatal outcome. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2006;26(4):321–4.

WHO RECOMMENDATIONS: INTRAPARTUM CARE FOR A POSITIVE CHILDBIRTH EXPERIENCE

81. López C AF. Estudio comparativo entre el partograma del clap y el partograma de la oms en embarazadas del hospital Vicente Corral Moscoso de Cuenca, Ecuador [Comparative study between the CLAP partogram and the WHO partogram in pregnant women of the Vicente Corral Moscoso hospital in Cuenca, Ecuador][thesis]. University of Cuenca; 2008.
82. Orji E. Evaluating progress of labor in nulliparas and multiparas using the modi ed WHO partograph. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2008;102(3):249–52.
83. Rocha IM, de Oliveira SM, Schneck CA, Riesco ML, da Costa AS. The partogram as an instrument to analyze care during labor and delivery. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2009;43(4):880–8.

84. Diarra I, Camara S, Maiga M. Evaluation de l'utilisation du partogramme à la maternité du centre de santé de référence de la commune V du district de Bamako [Assessment of the use of partogram at the district maternity hospital of commune V in Bamako area]. *Mali Med.* 2009;24(2):10–3.
85. Sanyal U, Goswami S, Mukhopadhyay P. The role of partograph in the outcome of spontaneous labor. *NJOG.* 2014;17(1):52–7.
86. Bolbol-Haghighi N, Ebrahimi N, Delvarian Zade M, Hasani MR. Evaluation of WHO's partogram alert line for prediction of the APGAR score at the first minute after birth. *J Shahrekord Univ Med Sci.* 2006;8(1):50–7.
87. Rani U, Laxmi B. Effect of partographic monitoring on outcomes for women in spontaneous labour at term. *IAIM.* 2016;3(7):314–20.
88. Souza J, Oladapo OT, Fawole B, Mugerwa K, Reis R, Barbosa-Junior F, et al. Cervical dilatation over time is a poor predictor of severe adverse birth outcomes: a diagnostic accuracy study. *BJOG.* 2018 (in press).
89. Fahy M, Doyle O, Denny K, McAuliffe FM, Robson M. Economics of childbirth. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2013;92(5):508–16.
90. Khan A, Zaman S. Costs of vaginal delivery and caesarean section at a tertiary level public hospital in Islamabad, Pakistan. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2010;10:2.
91. Armstrong N, Kenyon S. When choice becomes limited: women's experiences of delay in labour. *Health (London).* 2017;21(2):223–38.
92. Kobayashi S, Hanada N, Matsuzaki M, Takehara K, Ota E, Sasaki H, et al. Assessment and support during early labour for improving birth outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;(4):CD011516.
93. McNiven PS, Williams JI, Hodnett E, Kaufman K, Hannah ME. An early labor assessment program: a randomized, controlled trial. *Birth.* 1998;25(1):5–10.
94. Hofmeyr GJ, Mancotywa T, Silwana-Kwadjo N, Mgudlwa B, Lawrie TA, Gülmezoglu AM. Audit of a new model of birth care for women with low risk pregnancies in South Africa: the primary care onsite midwife-led birth unit (OMBU). *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2014;14:417.

95. Neal JL, Lamp JM, Buck JS, Lowe NK, Gillespie SL, Ryan SL. Outcomes of nulliparous women with spontaneous labor onset admitted to hospitals in preactive versus active labor. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2014;59(1):28–34.
96. Bailit JL, Dierker L, Blanchard MH, Mercer BM. Outcomes of women presenting in active versus latent phase of spontaneous labor. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;105(1):77–9.
97. Mikolajczyk RT, Zhang J, Grewal J, Chan LC, Petersen A, Gross MM. Early versus late admission to labor affects labor progression and risk of cesarean section in nulliparous women. *Front Med*. 2016;3:26.
98. Chuma C, Kihunrwa A, Matovelo D, Mahendeka M. Labour management and obstetric outcomes among pregnant women admitted in latent phase compared to active phase of labour at Bugando Medical Centre in Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:68.
99. Holmes P, Oppenheimer LW, Wen SW. The relationship between cervical dilatation at initial presentation in labour and subsequent intervention. *BJOG*. 2001;108(11):1120–4.
100. Tilden EL, Lee VR, Allen AJ, Griffin EE, Caughey AB. Cost-effectiveness analysis of latent versus active labor hospital admission for medically low-risk, term women. *Birth*. 2015;42(3):219–26.
101. Mekonnen MG, Yalew KN, Umer JY, Melese M. Determinants of delivery practices among Afar pastoralists of Ethiopia. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2012;13(suppl 1):17.
102. Dhakal S, van Teijlingen E, Raja EA, Dhakal KB. Skilled care at birth among rural women in Nepal: practice and challenges. *J Health, Popul Nutr*. 2011;29(4):371–8.
103. Kumbani L, Bjune G, Chirwa E, Malata A, Odland JO. Why some women fail to give birth at health facilities: a qualitative study of women's perceptions of perinatal care from rural southern Malawi. *Reprod Health*. 2013;10:9.
104. Atuoye KN, Dixon J, Rishworth A, Galaa SZ, Boamah SA, Luginaah I. Can she make it? Transportation barriers to accessing maternal and child health care services in rural Ghana. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15:333.
105. Kowalewski M, Mujinja P, Jahn A. Can mothers afford maternal health care costs? User costs of maternity services in rural Tanzania. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2002;6(1):65–73.
106. Choulagai B, Onta S, Subedi N, Mehata S, Bhandari GP, Poudyal A, et al. Barriers to using skilled birth attendants' services in mid- and far-western Nepal: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Int Health Hum Rights*. 2013;13:49.
107. Chandhiok N, Shrotri A, Joglekar N, Chaudhury N, Chaudhury P, Singh S. Feasibility of using partograph by practitioners of Indian system of medicine (AYUSH): an exploratory observation. *Midwifery*. 2015;31:702–7.

108. Pattinson R, Cuthbert A, Vanneval V. Pelvimetry for fetal cephalic presentations at term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(3):CD000161.

109. Rozenholc AT, Ako SN, Leke RJ, Boulvain M. The diagnostic accuracy of external pelvimetry and maternal height to predict dystocia in nulliparous women: a study in Cameroon. *BJOG*. 2007;114:630–5.
110. Liselele HB, Boulvain M, Tshibangu KC, Meuris S. Maternal height and external pelvimetry to predict cephalopelvic disproportion in nulliparous African women: a cohort study. *BJOG*. 2000;107:947–52.
111. Devane D, Lalor JG, Daly S, McGuire W, Cuthbert A, Smith V. Cardiotocography versus intermittent auscultation of fetal heart on admission to labour ward for assessment of fetal wellbeing. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(1):CD005122.
112. Supply catalogue [website]. United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF); 2017 (<https://supply.unicef.org/>, accessed 10 October 2017).
113. Lewis D, Downe S, FIGO Intrapartum Fetal Monitoring Expert Consensus Panel. FIGO consensus guidelines on intrapartum fetal monitoring: Intermittent auscultation. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2015;131(1):9–12.
114. WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of maternal peri-partum infections. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/186171/1/9789241549363_eng.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).
115. Al revic Z, Devane D, Gyte GM, Cuthbert A. Continuous cardiotocography (CTG) as a form of electronic fetal monitoring (EFM) for fetal assessment during labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(2):CD006066.
116. Herbst A, Ingemarsson I. Intermittent versus continuous electronic monitoring in labour: a randomised study. *BJOG*. 1994;101(8):663–8.
117. Vijgen SM, Westerhuis ME, Opmeer C, Visser GH, Moons KG, Porath MM, et al. Cost-effectiveness of cardiotocography plus ST analysis of the fetal electrocardiogram compared with cardiotocography only. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2011;90:772–8.
118. Chaturvedi S, De Costa A, Raven J. Does the Janani Suraksha Yojana cash transfer programme to promote facility births in India ensure skilled birth attendance? A qualitative study of intrapartum care in Madhya Pradesh. *Glob Health Action*. 2015;8:27427.
121. Walker DS, Shunkwiler S, Supanich J, Willamsen J, Yensch A. Labour and delivery nurses attitudes towards intermittent fetal monitoring. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2001;46(6):374–80.

122. Martis R, Emilia O, Nurdianti DS, Brown J. Intermittent auscultation (IA) of fetal heart rate in labour for fetal well-being. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;(2):CD008680.
123. Mugenyi GR, Atukunda EC, Ngonzi J, Boatin A, Wylie BJ, Haberer JE. Functionality and acceptability of a wireless fetal heart rate monitoring device in term pregnant women in rural southwestern Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2017;17:178.
124. Best practice in the management of epidural analgesia in the hospital setting. London: Faculty of Pain Medicine of the Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2010 (https://www.aagbi.org/sites/default/files/epidural_analgesia_2011.pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).
125. †Anim-Somuah M, Smyth RM, Jones L. Epidural versus non-epidural or no analgesia in labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;(12):CD000331.
126. Thomson G, Feeley C, Hall Moran V, Oladapo OT. Women's experiences of pharmacological and non-pharmacological pain relief methods for childbirth: a review and qualitative comparative analysis. 2018 (unpublished).
127. Huang C, Macario A. Economic considerations related to providing adequate pain relief for women in labour: comparison of epidural and intravenous analgesia. *Pharmacoeconomics.* 2002;20(5):305–18.
128. Bernitz S, Aas E, Øian P. Economic evaluation of birth care in low-risk women. A comparison between a midwife-led birth unit and a standard obstetric unit within the same hospital in Norway. A randomised controlled trial. *Midwifery.* 2012;28(5):591–9.
129. Tracy SK, Tracy MB. Costing the cascade: estimating the cost of increased obstetric intervention in childbirth using population data. *BJOG.* 2003;110(8):717–24.
130. Bonouvrie K, van den Bosch A, Roumen FJ, van Kuijk SM, Nijhuis JG, Evers SM, et al. Epidural analgesia during labour, routinely or on request: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;207:23–31.
131. Dillaway H, Brubaker SJ. Intersectionality and childbirth: how women from different social locations discuss epidural use. *Race Gender Class.* 2006;13(3–4):16–41.
119. Maimbolwa MC, Ransjo-Arvidson AB, Ng'andu N, Sikazwe N, Diwan VK. Routine care of women experiencing normal deliveries in Zambian e91. maternity wards: a pilot study. *Midwifery.* 1997;13(3):125–31.
120. Delvaux T, Ake-Tano O, Gohou-Kouassi V, Bosso P, Collin S, Ronsmans C. Quality of normal delivery care in Côte d'Ivoire. *Afr J Reprod Health.* 2007;11(1):22–32.
133. †Ullman R, Smith LA, Burns E, Mori R, Dowswell T. Parenteral opioids for maternal pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(9):CD007396.
134. Lamvu G, Feranec J, Blanton E. Perioperative pain management: an update for obstetrician-gynecologists. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017. pii: S0002-9378(17)30790-1.
132. Sanders R. Functional discomfort and a shift in midwifery paradigm. *Women Birth.* 2015;28:e87–

135. Dyer O. Ontario plans to stop funding high dose opioids. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i4300.
136. Linge-Dahl L, Vranken M, Juenger S, North K, Scholten W, Payne S, et al. Identification of challenges to the availability and accessibility of opioids in twelve European countries: conclusions from two ATOME six-country workshops. *J Palliat Med*. 2015;18(12):1033–9.
137. De Lima L, Pastrana T, Radbruch L, Wenk R. Cross-sectional pilot study to monitor the availability, dispensed prices, and affordability of opioids around the globe. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2014;48(4):649–59e1.
138. Lally JE, Murtagh MJ, Macphail S, Thomson R. More in hope than expectation: a systematic review of women's expectations and experience of pain relief in labour. *BMC Med*. 2008;6:7.
139. Gibson E. Women's expectations and experiences with labour pain in medical and midwifery models of birth in the United States. *Women Birth*. 2014;27(3):185–9.
140. †Smith CA, Levett KM, Collins CT, Crowther CA. Relaxation techniques for pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011;(12):CD009514.
141. Smith CA, Levett KM, Collins CT, Dahlen HG, Ee CC, Sukanuma M. Massage, re-exology and other manual methods for pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018:CD009290 (in press).
142. Herman P, Poindexter B, Witt C, Eisenberg D. Are complementary therapies and integrative care cost-effective? A systematic review of economic evaluations. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2:e001046.
143. Paterson CM, Saunders NS, Wadsworth J. The characteristics of the second stage of labour in 25,069 singleton deliveries in the North West Thames Health Region, 1988. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1992;99(5):377–80.
144. Abdel Aleem H. Nomograms of cervical dilatation and characteristics of normal labor in Upper Egypt. *Assiut Med J*. 1991;15(4):19–30.
145. Chen HF, Chu KK. Double-lined nomogram of cervical dilatation in Chinese primigravidas. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1986;65(6):573–5.

146. Diegmann EK, Andrews CM, Niemczura CA. The length of the second stage of labor in uncomplicated, nulliparous African American and Puerto Rican women. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2000;45(1):67–71.
147. Dior U, Kogan L, Ezra Y, Calderon-Margalit R. Population based labor curves. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;208(1):S150.
148. Duignan NM, Studd JW, Hughes AO. Characteristics of normal labour in different racial groups. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1975;82(8):593–601.
149. Shi Q, Tan XQ, Liu XR, Tian XB, Qi HB. Labour patterns in Chinese women in Chongqing. *BJOG*. 2016;123(suppl 3):57–63.
150. Studd J. Partograms and nomograms of cervical dilatation in management of primigravid labour. *Br Med J*. 1973;4(5890):451–5.
151. Studd J, Clegg DR, Sanders RR, Hughes AO. Identification of high risk labours by labour nomogram. *Br Med J*. 1975;2(5970):545–7.
152. Wüstemann M, Gremm B, Scharf A, Sohn C. Influence of the “walking epidural” on duration of labour in primi- and multiparae with vaginal delivery and comparison of vaginal operative delivery rates. *Gynakologische Praxis*. 2003;27(3):433–9.
153. Gibb DM, Cardozo LD, Studd JW, Magos AL, Cooper DJ. Outcome of spontaneous labour in multigravidae. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1982;89(9):708–11.
154. Gupta J, Sood A, Hofmeyr G, Vogel J. Position in the second stage of labour for women without epidural anaesthesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(5):CD002006.
155. Lawrence A, Lewis L, Hofmeyr GJ, Styles C. Maternal positions and mobility during first stage labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(10):CD003934.
156. Elvander C, Ahlberg M, Thies-Lagergren L, Cnattingius S, Stephansson O. Birth position and obstetric anal sphincter injury: a population-based study of 113 000 spontaneous births. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2015;15:252.
157. Zileni BD, Glover P, Jones M, Teoh KK, Zileni CW, Muller A. Malawi women’s knowledge and use of labour and birthing positions: a cross-sectional descriptive survey. *Women Birth*. 2017;30(1):e1–e8.
158. Okonta P. Birthing positions: awareness and preferences of pregnant women in a developing country. *Internet J Gynecol Obstet*. 2012;16(1).
159. Kibuka M, Thornton JG. Position in the second stage of labour for women with epidural anaesthesia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(2):CD008070.
160. Lemos A, Amorim MM, Dornelas de Andrade A, de Souza AI, Cabral Filho JE, Correia JB. Pushing/bearing down methods for the second stage of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(3):CD009124.

161. Bergstrom L, Seidel L, Skillman-Hull L, Roberts J. "I gotta push. Please let me push!" Social interactions during the change from first to second stage labor. *Birth*. 1997;24(3):173–80.
162. Fraser W, Marcoux S, Krauss I, Douglas J, Goulet C, Boulvain M, et al. Multicenter randomized, controlled trial of delayed pushing for nulliparous women in the second stage of labor with continuous epidural analgesia. *Am J Gynecol Obstet*. 2000;182(5):1165–72.
163. Aasheim V, Nilsen ABV, Reinar LM, Lukasse M. Perineal techniques during the second stage of labour for reducing perineal trauma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(6):CD006672.
164. Priddis H, Schmied V, Dahlen H. Women's experiences following severe perineal trauma: a qualitative study. *BMC Womens Health*. 2014;14(1):32.

181

9. REFERENCES

165. Borghi J, Bastus S, Belizan M, Carroli G, Hutton G, Fox-Rushby J. Costs of publicly provided maternity services in Rosario, Argentina. *Salud Publica Mex*. 2003;45(1):27–34.
166. Labrecque M, Eason E, Marcoux S. Women's views on the practice of prenatal perineal massage. *Brit J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2001;108:499–504.
167. Stamp G, Kruzins GS. A survey of midwives who participated in a randomised trial of perineal massage in labour. *Austral J Midwifery*. 2001;14(1):15–21.
168. Jiang H, Qian X, Carroli G, Garner P. Selective versus routine use of episiotomy for vaginal birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(2):CD000081.
180. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, et al. The mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: a mixed-methods systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(6):e1001847.
181. Sando D, Ratcliffe H, McDonald K, Spiegelman D, Lyatuu G, Mwanyika-Sando M, et al. The prevalence of disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth in urban Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:236.
182. Gülmezoglu AM, Langer A, Piaggio G, Lumbiganon P, Villar J, Grimshaw J. Cluster randomised trial of an active, multifaceted educational intervention based on the WHO Reproductive Health Library to improve obstetric practices. *BJOG*. 2007;114(1):16–
169. Amorim MM, Coutinho IC, Melo I, Katz L. Selective episiotomy vs. implementation of a non-episiotomy 23. protocol: a randomized clinical trial. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14:55.

170. Borghi J, Fox-Rushby J, Bergel E, Abalos E, Hutton G, Carroli G. The cost-effectiveness of routine versus restrictive episiotomy in Argentina. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;186(2):221–8.
171. Kettle C, Dowswell T, Ismail KM. Continuous and interrupted suturing techniques for repair of episiotomy or second-degree tears. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(11):CD000947.
172. Ith P, Dawson A, Homer CS, Klinken Whelan A. Practices of skilled birth attendants during labour, birth and the immediate postpartum period in Cambodia. *Midwifery*. 2013;29(4):300–7.
173. Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, Ciapponi A, Colaci D, Comande D, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10056):2176–92.
174. Chaves Sda C, Cecatti JG, Carroli G, Lumbiganon P, Hogue CJ, Mori R, et al. Obstetric transition in the World Health Organization Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health: exploring pathways for maternal mortality reduction. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2015;37(4–5):203–10.
175. Souza JP, Tunçalp Ö, Vogel JP, Bohren M, Widmer M, Oladapo OT, et al. Obstetric transition: the pathway towards ending preventable maternal deaths. *BJOG*. 2014;121(suppl 1):1–4.
176. Graham ID, Carroli G, Davies C, Medves JM. Episiotomy rates around the world: an update. *Birth*. 2005;32(3):219–23.
177. Diniz SG, d'Oliveira AF, Lansky S. Equity and women's health services for contraception, abortion and childbirth in Brazil. *Reprod Health Matters*. 2012;20(40):94–101.
178. Okafor I, Ugwu EO, Obi SN. Disrespect and abuse during facility-based childbirth in a low-income country. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2015;128(2):110–3.
179. Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Tunçalp Ö, Fawole B, Titiloye MA, Olutayo AO, et al. Mistreatment of women during childbirth in Abuja, Nigeria: a qualitative study on perceptions and experiences of women and healthcare providers. *Reprod Health*. 2017;14:9.
183. Hofmeyr GJ, Singata M, Lawrie TA, Vogel JP, Landoulsi S, Seuc AH, et al. A multicentre randomized controlled trial of gentle assisted pushing in the upright posture (GAP) or upright posture alone compared with routine practice to reduce prolonged second stage of labour (the Gentle Assisted Pushing study): study protocol. *Reprod Health*. 2015;12:114.

184. Hofmeyr G, Vogel J, Cuthbert A. Fundal pressure during the second stage of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;(3):CD006067.
185. Habek D, Vuković Bobić M, Hrgović Z. Possible fetomaternal clinical risk of the Kristeller's expression. *Cent Eur J Med.* 2008;3(2):183–6.
186. Zanconato G, Cavaliere E, Cherubini G, Bortolami O, Mantovani E, Iacovella C, et al. Fundal pressure (Kristeller maneuver) during labor in current obstetric practice: assessment of prevalence and fetomaternal effects. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2014;66(2):239–41.
187. Iyengar SD, Iyengar K, Martines JC, Dashora K, Deora KK. Childbirth practices in rural Rajasthan, India: implications for neonatal health and survival. *J Perinatol.* 2008;28(suppl 2):S23–30.
188. De Leeuw JW, Vierhout ME, Struijk PC, Hop WC, Wallenburg HC. Anal sphincter damage after vaginal delivery: functional outcome and risk factors for fecal incontinence. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2001;80(9):830–4.
189. Declercq ER, Sakala C, Corry MP, Applebaum S. Listening to mothers II: Report of the Second National U.S. Survey of Women's Childbearing Experiences: conducted January–February 2006 for Childbirth Connection by Harris Interactive® in partnership with Lamaze International. *J Perinat Educ.* 2007;16(4):15–7.
190. Goldman N, Gleib DA. Evaluation of midwifery care: results from a survey in rural Guatemala. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003;56(4):685–700.
191. WHO recommendations for the prevention and treatment of postpartum haemorrhage. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75411/1/9789241548502_eng.pdf, accessed 12 December 2017).

182

WHO RECOMMENDATIONS: INTRAPARTUM CARE FOR A POSITIVE CHILDBIRTH EXPERIENCE

192. Guideline: delayed umbilical cord clamping for improved maternal and infant health and nutrition outcomes. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/148793/1/9789241508209_eng.pdf, accessed 12 December 2017).
193. Guidelines on basic newborn resuscitation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75157/1/9789241503693_eng.pdf, accessed 12 December 2017).
194. Recommendations for management of common childhood conditions: evidence for technical update of pocketbook recommendations: newborn conditions, dysentery, pneumonia, oxygen use and delivery, common causes of fever, severe acute malnutrition and supportive care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/>

10665/44774/1/9789241502825_eng.pdf, accessed 12 December 2017).

195. WHO recommendations on newborn health: guidelines approved by the WHO Guidelines Review Committee. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/259269/1/WHO-MCA-17.07-eng.pdf>, accessed 17 January 2018).

196. Guidelines on optimal feeding of low birth-weight infants in low- and middle-income countries. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 (http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/9789241548366pdf, accessed 17 January 2018).

197. WHO recommendations on postnatal care of the mother and newborn 2013. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/97603/1/9789241506649_eng.pdf, accessed 12 December 2017).

198. Spector JM, Agrawal P, Kodkany B, Lipsitz S, Lashoher A, Dziekan G, et al. Improving quality of care for maternal and newborn health: prospective pilot study of the WHO Safe Childbirth Checklist program. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(5):e35151.

199. Brouwers M, Kho M, Browman G, Burgers J, Cluzeau F, Feder G, et al. AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in healthcare. *CMAJ*. 2010;182(18):E839–42.

200. GREAT Network [website]. The Guideline-driven Research Priorities Evidence Synthesis Application of Evidence Transfer of Knowledge (GREAT) Network; 2016 (<http://greatnetworkglobal.org/>, accessed 19 October 2017).

201. Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/249155/1/9789241511216-eng.pdf>, accessed 12 December 2017).

youtube historie: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RVD5JTXVnhE>